

In the Matter of:

Respondent

## FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 11.24

## Background

On January 8 2020, a “Notice and Order Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Notice and Order”) was sent by certified mail (receipt nos. 70161970000031995242 and 70183090000157595338) notifying Respondent that the Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline (“OED Director”) had filed a “Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Complaint”) requesting that the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office impose reciprocal discipline upon Respondent identical to the discipline imposed by the Board of Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of Tennessee in *In re Joyce Diane Bradley*, File No. 58671-9-PS. The Notice and Order provided Respondent an opportunity to file, within forty (40) days, a response opposing the imposition of reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed by the Board of Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of Tennessee in *In re Joyce Diane Bradley*, File No. 58671-9-PS, based on one or more of the reasons provided in 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d)(1). Respondent received the Notice and order on January 14, 2020 but

has not filed a response to the Notice and Order.

### Analysis

In light of Respondent's failure to file a response, it is hereby determined that there is no genuine issue of material fact under 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d) and Respondent's public censure is the appropriate discipline.

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Respondent is hereby publicly censured;
2. The OED Director publish the following Notice in the *Official Gazette*:

### Notice of Public Censure

This notice concerns Joyce Diane Bradley of Memphis, Tennessee, who is a registered patent attorney (Registration Number 52,426). In a reciprocal disciplinary proceeding, the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") has ordered that Ms. Joyce Diane Bradley be publicly censured for violating 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h), predicated upon being publicly censured by a duly constituted authority of a State.

On April 18, 2019, in *In re Joyce Diane Bradley*, File No. 58671-9-PS, the Board of Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of Tennessee issued a Public Censure finding that Ms. Bradley continued to practice law while her license was suspended for CLE noncompliance, in violation of Tenn. R. Prof. Conduct 5.5.

This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 32 and 37 C.F.R. § 11.24. Disciplinary decisions are available for public review at the Office of Enrollment and Discipline's FOIA Reading Room, located at: <https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/>; and

3. The OED Director give notice pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.59 of the public discipline and the reasons for the discipline to disciplinary enforcement agencies in the state(s) where Respondent is admitted to practice, to courts where Respondent is known to be admitted, and to the public.

(signature page follows)

(signature page-Final Order Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24-Bradley, D2020-05)

29 Feb 2020  
Date

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David M. Shewchuk', written over a horizontal line.

David M. Shewchuk  
Deputy General Counsel for General Law  
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on delegated authority by

Andrei T. Iancu  
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and  
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office